CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 3:18-cv-01647-JAH-MDD Document 1 Filed 07/19/18 PageID.1 Page 1 of 45

Plaintiff "Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following upon information and belief, except as to those allegations concerning Plaintiff, which are alleged upon personal knowledge. Plaintiff's information and belief is based upon, among other things, his counsel's investigation, which includes without limitation: (a) review and analysis of regulatory filings made by ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("ACADIA" or the "Company") with the United States ("U.S.") Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"); (b) review and analysis of press releases and media reports issued by and disseminated by ACADIA; and (c) review of other publicly available information concerning ACADIA.

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND OVERVIEW

- 1. This is a class action on behalf of persons and entities that acquired ACADIA securities between April 29, 2016 and July 9, 2018, inclusive (the "Class Period"), seeking to pursue remedies under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").
- 2. ACADIA is purportedly a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of medicines to address central nervous system disorders. The Company claims its lead drug is NUPLAZID (pimavanserin), which was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") on April 29, 2016 for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis (or "PD Psychosis"). The Company launched NUPLAZID in the United States in May 2016.

9. On this news, ACADIA

- 3. On April 29, 2016, ACADIA issued a press release announcing that that the FDA approved NUPLAZID for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis. ACADIA further stated that "[t]he FDA approval of NUPLAZID was based on data from the pivotal Phase III Study -020 and other supportive studies, representing the largest research and development program in Parkinson's disease psychosis to date."
- 4. On February 27, 2018, ACADIA announced fourth quarter 2017 NUPLAZID sales of \$43.6 million, which was approximately \$720,000 below consensus estimates.
- 5. On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$6.24 per share, or 20%, to close at \$24.92 per share on February 28, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.
- 6. On April 9, 2018, CNN reported that "[p]hysicians, medical researchers and other experts told CNN that they worried that [NUPLAZID] had been approved too quickly, based on too little evidence that it was safe or effective. And given these mounting reports of deaths, they say that more needs to be done to assess Nuplazid's true risks."
- 7. On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$5.03 per share, or 23.4%, to close at \$16.50 per share on April 9, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.
- 8. On April 25, 2018, CNN reported that the FDA was re-examining the safety of NUPLAZID.
 - 9. On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$4.27 per share, or 21.9%, to

close at \$15.20 per share on April 25, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.

- 10. On July 9, 2018, the Southern Investigative Reporting Foundation (the "SIRF") published a report entitled "Acadia Pharmaceuticals: This Is Not a Pharmaceuticals Company." Therein, the SIRF stated that "evidence is mounting that something is horribly wrong with Acadia's sole drug, Nuplazid, an antipsychotic for Parkinson's disease patients who experience episodic hallucinations and delusions" and that "Acadia has accomplished its growth in ways that have attracted intense regulatory scrutiny for other drug companies" including "dispensing wads of cash to doctors to incentivize prescription writing and downplaying mounting reports of patient deaths."
- 11. On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$1.21 per share, or 6.8%, to close at \$16.63 per share on July 9, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.
- 12. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose: (1) that adverse events and safety concerns related to NUPLAZID threatened the drug's initial and continuing FDA approval; (2) that ACADIA engaged in business practices likely to attract regulatory scrutiny; and (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' statements about ACADIA's business, operations, and prospects, were materially false and/or misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

13. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 14. The claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).
- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa).
- 16. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)). Substantial acts in furtherance of the alleged fraud or the effects of the fraud have occurred in this Judicial District. Many of the acts charged herein, including the dissemination of materially false and/or misleading information, occurred in substantial part in this Judicial District. In addition, the Company's principal executive offices are in this Judicial District.
- 17. In connection with the acts, transactions, and conduct alleged herein, Defendants directly and indirectly used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including the United States mail, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of a national securities exchange.

PARTIES

- 18. Plaintiff as set forth in the accompanying certification, incorporated by reference herein, purchased ACADIA securities during the Class Period, and suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or misleading statements and/or material omissions alleged herein.
- 19. Defendant ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. is incorporated in Delaware and its principal executive offices are in San Diego, California. ACADIA's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Stock Market ("NASDAQ") under the symbol "ACAD."
- 20. Defendant Stephen R. Davis ("Davis") was the President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of ACADIA at all relevant times.
- 21. Defendant Todd S. Young ("Young") was the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") of ACADIA from August 22, 2016, through the end of the Class Period.
- 22. Defendants Davis and Young (collectively the "Individual Defendants"), because of their positions with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the contents of ACADIA's reports to the SEC, press releases and presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers and institutional investors, *i.e.*, the market. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of the Company's reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their

positions and access to material non-public information available to them, the Individual Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being concealed from, the public, and that the positive representations which were being made were then materially false and/or misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Background

23. ACADIA is purportedly a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of medicines to address central nervous system disorders. The Company claims its lead drug is NUPLAZID (pimavanserin), which was approved by the FDA on April 29, 2016 for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with PD Psychosis. The Company launched NUPLAZID in the United States in May 2016.

Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period

24. The Class Period begins on April 29, 2016. On that day, the Company issued a press release entitled "FDA Approves ACADIA Pharmaceuticals' NUPLAZIDTM (pimavanserin) - The First Drug Approved for the Treatment of Hallucinations and Delusions Associated with Parkinson's Disease Psychosis." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:

ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical

needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced that the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved NUPLAZID (pimavanserin) for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis. In 2014, the FDA designated NUPLAZID as a Breakthrough Therapy for this condition.

The FDA approval of NUPLAZID was based on data from the pivotal Phase III Study -020 and other supportive studies, representing the largest research and development program in Parkinson's disease psychosis to date. In Study -020, NUPLAZID significantly reduced the frequency and severity of psychotic symptoms compared to placebo on the Scale for Assessment of Positive Symptoms − Parkinson's Disease (SAPS-PD). This benefit was achieved without impairing motor function. The most common adverse reactions (≥5% and twice the rate of placebo) in this study were peripheral edema (7% NUPLAZID vs 3% placebo) and confusional state (6% NUPLAZID vs 3% placebo). Results of Study -020 were published in The Lancet. Please see full prescribing information at www.nuplazid.com.

25. On May 31, 2016, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "ACADIA

Pharmaceuticals Announces NUPLAZIDTM (pimavanserin) Is Now Available for

the Treatment of Hallucinations and Delusions Associated with Parkinson's Disease

Psychosis." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:

ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced that NUPLAZIDTM (pimavanserin) is now available for prescription in the United States. NUPLAZID was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on April 29, 2016 for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis.

NUPLAZID is the first and only drug approved by the FDA for this indication. NUPLAZID is also the only drug approved by the FDA that preferentially targets 5-HT_{2A} receptors. These receptors are thought to play an important role in Parkinson's disease psychosis. The unique pharmacology of NUPLAZID establishes a new class of drug - selective serotonin inverse agonists (SSIA) - by not only preferentially targeting 5-HT_{2A}receptors but also avoiding activity at dopamine and other receptors commonly targeted by antipsychotics. Typical Parkinson's disease therapy consists of drugs that stimulate dopamine to treat patients' motor symptoms such as tremor, muscle rigidity and difficulty with walking. NUPLAZID does not interfere with patients' dopaminergic therapy and therefore does not impair their motor function.

1 26. On July 29, 2016, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "ACADIA" 2 Pharmaceuticals Reports Second Quarter 2016 Financial Results." Therein, the 3 4 Company, in relevant part, stated: 5 ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), biopharmaceutical company focused on the development 6 commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical 7 needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its unaudited financial results for the second quarter ended June 30, 2016. 8 "The second quarter of 2016 was highlighted by transformative events 9 for ACADIA, including the FDAapproval and recent commercial launch of NUPLAZIDTM," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President and Chief Executive Officer. "We are executing on our plans to bring 10 NUPLAZID to patients in need – our sales specialists have been trained 11 physician and deployed; patient and support our NUPLAZIDconnectTM, NUPLAZIDconnectTM, became operational at approval; we are expanding awareness of NUPLAZID among healthcare professionals 12 through a number of initiatives including speaker programs, media and digital campaigns, and symposia at major medical meetings; and we are working with payors to make NUPLAZID available to eligible 13 14 patients. 15 **Recent Highlights** 16 NUPLAZID (pimavanserin) approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) on April 29, 2016 for the treatment 17 of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis. 18 NUPLAZID (pimavanserin) made available for prescription 19 on May 31, 2016 with physicians able to prescribe patients a 30day free trial. 20 Approximately 135 seasoned sales specialists were onboarded, 21 trained, and deployed at launch. They have an average of over eight years of CNS sales experience and 15 years in the 22 pharmaceutical industry. 23 Enrollment completed in a Phase II study exploring the utility of pimavanserin for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease psychosis. 24 Announcement of top-line results from the study expected by the end of 2016. 25 Executing on plans to initiate a Phase II study with pimavanserin 26 in Alzheimer's disease agitation in the second half of 2016.

27

1	Financial Results
2	Revenue
3	ACADIA reported net product sales of \$97,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2016. No similar net product sales were reported for the comparable period of 2015. NUPLAZID was made available for
5	prescription on May 31, 2016. Through ACADIA's
6	NUPLAZIDconnect site, physicians are able to prescribe patients a 30-day free trial of NUPLAZID upon initiation of therapy, for which no revenue is recognized.
7	27. On August 4, 2016, ACADIA filed its quarterly report with the SEC on
8	Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016. The Company's 10-Q was signed
10	by Defendant Davis and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the press
11	release issued on July 29, 2016.
12	28. On November 7, 2016, ACADIA issued a press release entitled
1314	"ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Reports Third Quarter 2016 Financial Results."
15	Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:
1617	ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical
18	needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its unaudited financial results for the third quarter ended September 30, 2016.
19	
2021	"We are very pleased with the launch and are gratified by the positive feedback we have received from physicians, patients, and caregivers on NUPLAZID (pimayanserin)," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President
22	and Chief Executive Officer. "We saw solid month-over-month prescription growth, reported increased payor coverage, and continued to expand awareness of NUPLAZID among movement disorder
23	specialists, neurologists, and psychiatrists."
24	"In addition, we continue to expand our clinical program with pimavanserin. We recently announced the initiation of our SERENE
25	study for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease agitation and our ENHANCE-1 study for adjunctive treatment of schizophrenia in
2627	patients who have an inadequate response to current antipsychotic treatment. These studies, together with additional studies we will commence later this year, underscore our commitment to improving the
	lives of patients with CNS disorders."

Recent Highlights 1 2 U.S. launch of NUPLAZID commenced May 31, NUPLAZID is the first and only drug approved by the FDA for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with 3 Parkinson's disease psychosis. on Medicare formularies; 4 NUPLAZID now coverage NUPLAZID by commercial insurance plans continues to grow. In October 2016, announced the initiation of the SERENE study, 5 a Phase II study with pimavanserin for patients with Alzheimer's 6 disease agitation. In November 2016, announced the initiation of ENHANCE-1, a Phase III study with pimavanserin for adjunctive treatment for 7 patients with schizophrenia who are experiencing inadequate response to their current antipsychotic therapy. 8 Completed enrollment of our Phase II study exploring the utility of pimavanserin for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease 9 psychosis. Announcement of top-line results from the study expected by the end of 2016. 10 Presented multiple scientific posters and hosted booth exhibits for healthcare providers and disease education at the World 11 Parkinson Congress. Sponsored the National Parkinson's Foundation Caregiver 12 13 Raised approximately \$215.9 million in a common stock offering in August 2016. 14 **Financial Results** 15 Revenue 16 ACADIA reported net product sales of \$5.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016. No similar net product sales were reported for the comparable period of 2015. NUPLAZID was made 17 available for prescription starting May 31, 2016. Through ACADIA's 18 NUPLAZIDconnectTM site, upon initiation of therapy, physicians are able to prescribe patients a 30-day free trial of NUPLAZID for which 19 no revenue is recognized. 20 29. On November 7, 2016, ACADIA filed its quarterly report with the SEC 21 on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016. The Company's 10-Q was 22 23 signed by Defendant Young and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the 24 press release issued on November 7, 2016.

30. On February 28, 2017, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Reports Financial Results for the Fourth Quarter and

25

26

27

Year Ended December 31, 2016." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:

ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its financial results for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2016.

"2016 was a transformational year for ACADIA highlighted by the launch of NUPLAZID (pimavanserin) as the first and only drug approved by the FDA for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President and Chief Executive Officer. "We are pleased with the strong progress of the launch and our execution in bringing this drug to Parkinson's patients."

"More recently, we announced positive results from our Phase II study with pimavanserin in Alzheimer's disease psychosis. Pimavanserin has now shown antipsychotic effects in clinical studies in three major CNS disorders: Parkinson's disease, schizophrenia, and Alzheimer's disease. These results, combined with the initiation of four new clinical programs, underscore the potential of pimavanserin to improve the lives of patients across multiple CNS disease states and our commitment to explore this potential in broad and substantive clinical programs."

Recent Highlights

- NUPLAZID now available on Medicare formularies for the treatment of Parkinson's disease psychosis (PD Psychosis); commercial coverage decisions now made for over 60% of commercial lives and continue to grow.
- Positive top-line results from our Phase II study exploring the utility of pimavanserin for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease psychosis announced in December 2016.
- Initiated the SERENE study, a 430-patient study evaluating pimavanserin for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease agitation.
- Initiated the ENHANCE-1 study, a 380-patient study evaluating pimavanserin for adjunctive treatment of schizophrenia in patients with an inadequate response to their current antipsychotic therapy.
- Initiated the ADVANCE study, a 380-patient study evaluating pimavanserin for adjunctive treatment in patients with negative symptoms of schizophrenia.
- Initiated the CLARITY study, a 188-patient study evaluating pimavanserin for adjunctive treatment in patients with major depressive disorder who have an inadequate response to standard antidepressant therapy.

Financial Results

Revenue

ACADIA reported net product sales of \$12.0 million for the fourth

quarter of 2016. NUPLAZID was launched commercially in May 2016, so there were no similar product sales for the comparable quarter of 2015. Through ACADIA's NUPLAZIDconnect site, upon initiation of therapy, physicians have been able to prescribe patients a 30-day free trial of NUPLAZID for which no revenue is recognized.

- 31. On February 28, 2017, ACADIA filed its annual report with the SEC on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. The Company's 10-K was signed by Defendant Davis and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the press release issued on February 28, 2017.
- 32. On May 9, 2017, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Reports First Quarter 2017 Financial Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:

ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its unaudited financial results for the first quarter ended March 31, 2017.

"We're very pleased with our strong start to 2017," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President and Chief Executive Officer. "The use of NUPLAZID" in Parkinson's disease psychosis continues to expand as brand awareness among neurologists, psychiatrists, and other healthcare providers grows. We also continue to advance our ongoing clinical studies in Alzheimer's disease agitation, schizophrenia inadequate response, schizophrenia negative symptoms, and major depressive disorder, and we look forward to moving our Alzheimer's disease psychosis program into Phase III in the second half of 2017."

Recent Highlights

- Net revenue for the first quarter of 2017 of \$15.3 million, an increase of 28% from the fourth quarter of 2016.
- NUPLAZID (pimavanserin) available on Medicare formularies for the treatment of Parkinson's disease psychosis (PD Psychosis); commercial coverage decisions grew to over 90% of commercial lives.
- Expanded penetration into the long-term care market with 25 additional long-term care sales specialists; ACADIA currently has approximately 155 total sales specialists.
- Continued to execute on broad clinical development program with ongoing studies in Alzheimer's disease agitation, schizophrenia inadequate response, schizophrenia negative symptoms, and major depressive disorder.

Plan to advance Alzheimer's disease psychosis (AD Psychosis) program into Phase III in second half of 2017.
Presented data on NUPLAZID in PD Psychosis at the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry Annual Meeting.
Appointed Michael J. Yang as Executive Vice President, Chief Commercial Officer.

Financial Results

Revenue

ACADIA reported NUPLAZID net product sales of \$15.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2017. NUPLAZID was first made available for prescription starting in May 2016 and there were no similar net product sales for the comparable period of 2016. ACADIA reports product sales when its specialty pharmacy partners dispense NUPLAZID to a patient based on the fulfillment of a prescription or its specialty distributor partners sell NUPLAZID to a government facility, long-term care pharmacy or in-patient hospital pharmacy. As of March 31, 2017, the company had \$4.1 million of deferred product revenue, net of distribution fees, for product it had shipped to its distribution partners that had not yet sold-through the distribution channel. At December 31, 2016, the company had \$2.6 million of deferred product revenue, net of distribution fees.

- 33. On May 9, 2017, ACADIA filed its quarterly report with the SEC on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2017. The Company's 10-Q was signed by Defendant Young and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the press release issued on May 9, 2017.
- 34. On August 8, 2017, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Reports Second Quarter 2017 Financial Results." Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated:
 - ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its unaudited financial results for the second quarter ended June 30, 2017.
 - "Our commercial efforts continue to drive strong financial performance with solid market uptake for NUPLAZID in patients with Parkinson's disease psychosis," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President and Chief Executive Officer. "Following positive data from our Phase II study in Alzheimer's disease psychosis and recently completed End-of-Phase II meeting with the FDA, we are excited to start our Phase III program in

the next couple of months." 1 During the second quarter of 2017, ACADIA generated \$30.5 million of net product sales of NUPLAZID, which includes the one-time recognition of \$3.6 million associated with the transition to the 2 3 sell-in revenue recognition method of accounting from the sell-through 4 method. 5 **Financial Results** 6 7 Revenue Net product sales of NUPLAZID, which was first made available for 8 prescription starting in May 2016, were \$30.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017 compared to \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016. For the six months ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, ACADIA reported NUPLAZID net product sales 9 10 of \$45.8 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. 11 On August 8, 2017, ACADIA filed its quarterly report with the SEC on 35. 12 Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2017. The Company's 10-Q was signed 13 14 by Defendant Young and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the press 15 release issued on August 8, 2017. 16 On November 7, 2017, ACADIA issued a press release entitled 36. 17 18 "ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Reports Third Quarter 2017 Financial Results." 19 Therein, the Company, in relevant part, stated: 20 ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (NASDAQ: ACAD). 21 biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical 22 needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its unaudited financial results for the third quarter ended September 30, 23 2017. 24 "Our results this quarter reflect strong growth for NUPLAZID for Parkinson's disease psychosis," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President and Chief Executive Officer. "We also recently advanced our clinical 25 portfolio with the initiation of our Phase III study of pimavanserin for 26 dementia-related psychosis and were pleased to receive FDA Breakthrough Therapy Designation for this program. If this study is successful, we believe pimavanserin will provide an important benefit 27 to patients with dementia-related psychosis who currently have no FDA-approved treatments available to them."

Recent Highlights

2 3

Initiated pivotal Phase III HARMONY Study with pimavanserin in dementia-related psychosis in October 2017.

4

Breakthrough FDA granted Therapy Designation pimavanserin for the treatment of dementia-related psychosis in October 2017. This is the second Breakthrough Therapy Designation for pimavanserin.

6

5

Presented Phase II data with pimavanserin in Alzheimer's disease psychosis at the Symposium, "The Importance of Serotonin in Alzheimer's Disease Psychosis and the Role of Pimavanserin," at the Clinical Trials on Alzheimer's Disease (CTAD) meeting in Boston in November 2017.

7 8

9

In addition to dementia-related psychosis, ACADIA continues to advance its broad clinical development program with ongoing studies in schizophrenia inadequate response, schizophrenia negative symptoms, and major depressive disorder.

10

Financial Results

11

Revenue

12

13

14

Net product sales of NUPLAZID, which was first made available for prescription starting in May 2016, were \$35.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$5.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, ACADIA reported NUPLAZID net product sales of \$81.3 million and \$5.4 million, respectively.

15

16

17

37. On November 7, 2017, ACADIA filed its quarterly report with the SEC on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2017. The Company's 10-Q was signed by Defendant Young and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the

18 19

press release issued on November 7, 2017.

20

22

21

23

24

25

26 27

28

38. The above statements identified in ¶¶24-37 were materially false and/or misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose: (1) that adverse events and safety concerns related to NUPLAZID threatened the drug's initial and continuing FDA approval; (2) that ACADIA engaged in business practices likely to attract regulatory scrutiny; and (3) that, as a result of the

Financial Results

Revenue

Net product sales of NUPLAZID, which was first made available for prescription starting in May 2016, were \$43.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2017, an increase of 263% as compared to \$12.0 million reported for the fourth quarter of 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2017, ACADIA reported NUPLAZID net product sales of \$124.9 million, an increase of \$107.6 million, or 622% from the \$17.3 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2016.

- 40. On February 27, 2018, ACADIA filed its annual report with the SEC on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. The Company's 10-K was signed by Defendant Davis and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the press release issued on February 27, 2018.
- 41. On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$6.24 per share, or 20%, to close at \$24.92 per share on February 28, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.
- 42. The above statements identified in ¶¶39-40 were materially false and/or misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose: (1) that adverse events and safety concerns related to NUPLAZID threatened the drug's initial and continuing FDA approval; (2) that ACADIA engaged in business practices likely to attract regulatory scrutiny; and (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' statements about ACADIA's business, operations, and prospects, were materially false and/or misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.
- 43. On April 9, 2018, CNN reported that "[p]hysicians, medical researchers and other experts told CNN that they worried that [NUPLAZID] had been approved

1 too quickly, based on too little evidence that it was safe or effective. And given 2 these mounting reports of deaths, they say that more needs to be done to assess 3 Nuplazid's true risks." In greater part, the article stated: 4 5 Nuplazid's review was being expedited because it had been designated a "breakthrough therapy" -- meaning that it demonstrated "substantial 6 improvement" in patients with serious or life-threatening diseases compared to treatments already on the market. Congress created this 7 designation in 2012 in an effort to speed up the FDA's approval process, which has long been criticized for being too slow. Around 200 8 drugs have been granted this designation since its creation. 9 Still, to recommend approval, the advisory committee would have to find that the drug's potential benefits outweighed its risks for its 10 intended patients. 11 Some FDA officials concluded that Nuplazid's public health benefit was enough to merit approval of the drug. Their argument echoed the pleas of family members and caregivers like Tyne: It could possibly 12 help patients with no other alternative. Several of the people who spoke said their loved ones had been transformed during the clinical trials, 13 though some said there was no way for them to know whether they 14 were on Nuplazid or a placebo. 15 But the physician who led the FDA's medical review, Dr. Paul Andreason, warned that patients taking the drug during the company's 16 clinical trials experienced serious outcomes, including death, at more than double the rate of those taking the placebo. The company's limited testing, he said, had not convinced him that the benefits outweighed the 17 18 While Tyne had heard about these risks, he said he "discounted death 19 as a real statistical possibility" and was willing to try anything to help his mother. 20 "I have two young children who love their grandmother," he told the committee. "If nothing is done to bring her back to some semblance of 21 normalcy, my children will never remember their grandmother for who 22 she is: a loving, funny, caring woman who has improved the lives of all of the loved ones who surround her. Please, I beg you, do not deprive 23 my children and their grandmother of experiencing that love." 24 'You have to take it seriously' 25 The committee voted 12-2 and recommended that the FDA approve Nuplazid for the treatment of Parkinson's disease psychosis based on a six-week study of about 200 patients. Three previous studies of the 26 drug did not show that it was effective, Andreason said in his medical 27 review, though they showed similar risk.

Even some committee members who voted in favor of the drug expressed reservations, according to the hearing transcript. "I guess I'm hoping that the risks are going to be small, and I think the benefits for some of these people who are very sick and whose families are affected by this, I think they're probably willing to take that risk," one physician stated. Another committee member said she wouldn't have voted for the drug's approval if there had been a safe and effective alternative on the market. A third made a "plea" to the FDA to "consider a large observational study so we can ensure that, once it goes into real-world use, that the benefits will outweigh the risks."

It hit the market in June 2016. As caregivers and family members rushed to get their loved ones on it, sales climbed to roughly \$125 million in 2017.

Tyne got his mother on the drug as soon as it became available. But after trying it for months, he says he was devastated to see that it was doing nothing to halt the awful progression of the disease, and her hallucinations became more frequent and harder to manage. "She has gone straight downhill to the point she really can't function at all," he said.

Shortly after the drug's release, patients' family members, doctors and other health care professionals started reporting "adverse events" possibly linked to the medication -- including deaths, life-threatening incidents, falls, insomnia, nausea and fatigue. In more than 1,000 reports, patients continued to experience hallucinations while on Nuplazid.

In November, an analysis released by a nonprofit health care organization, the Institute for Safe Medication Practices, warned that 244 deaths had been reported to the FDA between the drug's launch and March 2017. The organization also noted that hundreds of reports suggested the drug was "not providing the expected benefit" or potentially worsening the condition.

Tracked by the FDA, these so-called "adverse event reports" document deaths, side effects and other issues, and can be made directly by consumers, caregivers and other medical professionals. Reports are submitted to either the FDA or to the drugmaker, which is required to pass along any it receives to the federal government. In some cases, the person filing the report is convinced the side effects were caused by the drug; in others, the reporter ascribes no cause but notes that the patient was on the drug.

An adverse event report does not mean that a suspected medication has been ruled the cause of harm and is typically not the result of an official investigation. But the FDA uses the information to monitor potential issues with a drug and can take action as needed -- updating a medication's label, for instance, or restricting its use or pulling it off the market.

After analyzing the adverse event data for Nuplazid, the Institute for Safe Medication Practices concluded that this batch of reports "reinforces the concerns of those who warned that (Nuplazid) might do

1 2 depending on what the review finds. 3 4 5 the death reports. 6 7 8 risks. 9 10 11 have to take it seriously.' 12 44. 13 14 15 45. 16 17 Company stated: 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

more harm than good." Thomas Moore, senior scientist for drug safety and policy for the nonprofit, said the deaths are an "important warning signal" and warrant further review by the FDA -- and possible action,

Since the institute released its analysis, FDA data shows that the number of reported deaths has risen to more than 700. As of last June, Nuplazid was the only medication listed as "suspect" in at least 500 of

Physicians, medical researchers and other experts told CNN that they worried that the drug had been approved too quickly, based on too little evidence that it was safe or effective. And given these mounting reports of deaths, they say that more needs to be done to assess Nuplazid's true

"This is almost unheard of, to have this many deaths reported," said Diana Zuckerman, founder and president of the nonprofit thinktank the National Center for Health Research, adding that because reports are voluntary, potential problems may be underreported. "You just don't see this with most new drugs -- you don't see all these reports -- so you

- On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$5.03 per share, or 23.4%, to
- close at \$16.50 per share on April 9, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.
 - On April 10, 2018, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "ACADIA"
- Statement Regarding the Efficacy and Safety of NUPLAZID." Therein, the

The safety of patients has always been, and continues to be ACADIA's top priority. NUPLAZID® was approved by the FDA for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis (PDP) based on a pivotal Phase 3 study and other supportive studies that demonstrate its efficacy and safety. The clinical development program for NUPLAZID involved 25 clinical studies in greater than 1,200 patients, comprising over 600 PDP patients (with approximately 170 patients treated for at least two years), thus presenting the largest clinical safety database in PDP patients to date. We continually analyze new data to ensure the safety of NUPLAZID and the ongoing evaluation has revealed no change in the benefit/risk profile described in the NUPLAZID Prescribing Information.

Approximately one million people in the United States live with Parkinson's disease. More than 50 percent of them will experience PDP symptoms over the course of the disease. As the only drug currently approved by the FDA for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions associated with PDP, NUPLAZID is filling an important and previously unmet need and offers hope to those with PDP and the

people who care for them. We remain confident in the efficacy and safety of NUPLAZID that supported its approval by the FDA and stand firmly behind it.

- 46. The above statements identified in ¶45 were materially false and/or misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose: (1) that adverse events and safety concerns related to NUPLAZID threatened the drug's initial and continuing FDA approval; (2) that ACADIA engaged in business practices likely to attract regulatory scrutiny; and (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' statements about ACADIA's business, operations, and prospects, were materially false and/or misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.
- 47. On April 25, 2018, CNN reported that the FDA was re-examining the safety of NUPLAZID. In greater part, the article stated:

The Food and Drug Administration says it is re-examining the safety of a medication that was approved despite concerns that not enough was known about the drug's risks.

In response to questioning at a budget hearing last week, FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb told members of Congress that he would "take another look" at Nuplazid, which is the only drug approved to treat hallucinations and delusions associated with Parkinson's disease psychosis. The medication has been cited as a so-called "suspect" medication in hundreds of deaths voluntarily reported by caregivers, doctors and other medical professionals since it hit the market, as highlighted in a recent CNN report.

The FDA told CNN this week that the agency had already begun conducting a new evaluation of the medication when Gottlieb was questioned about it at the hearing. The agency said the review had started several weeks ago.

"What does it take for a drug like this to be taken off the market?" asked US Rep. Rosa DeLauro, a member and former chair of the congressional subcommittee responsible for funding and overseeing the FDA.

DeLauro pressed Gottlieb for answers on his agency's response to the safety concerns surrounding Nuplazid.

NUPLAZID was approved and launched in 2016. As the manufacturer

of a newly launched drug, we are routinely in contact with the FDA regarding requests for additional information on NUPLAZID, including postmarketing safety surveillance information as part of the FDA's ongoing safety monitoring.

In a statement released to the media on April 10, 2018, the FDA stated, "The FDA continues to monitor adverse events reported with NUPLAZID that are submitted to the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS). We have noted that the cases typically involve geriatric patients with advanced-stage Parkinson's disease, as well as numerous medical conditions, who are frequently taking concomitant medications with risks for serious adverse events, including death. Based on these data, the FDA has, at this time, not identified a specific safety issue that is not already adequately described in the product labeling."

On April 25, 2018, the FDA stated that its evaluation does not mean the Agency has determined the medicine has a new risk and does not suggest healthcare providers should not prescribe it nor that patients should stop taking the medication. The Agency also has confirmed this statement does not represent a change from the safety review and monitoring activities the FDA referred to in its statement of April 10. As always, we will continue to work with the FDA and medical community to answer any questions related to NUPLAZID.

ACADIA collects and analyzes postmarketing events for NUPLAZID as part of our ongoing commitment to monitor the medication's safety profile. These events are submitted to the FDA and incorporated into the FDA's FAERS public reporting system. Because NUPLAZID is distributed through a specialty distribution channel, we have frequent (in most cases monthly) contact with patients and caregivers through our distribution partners. This increased interaction naturally results in dramatically higher adverse event collection and reporting compared to products without such a distribution method. Approximately 93 percent of the reported adverse events associated with NUPLAZID are considered "solicited" due to this direct interaction with patients and caregivers, while only approximately 7 percent of these events are considered "spontaneous" reports, which are voluntary reports originating from consumers or healthcare professionals. In contrast, most other antipsychotics are distributed through retail channels, which rely almost entirely on "spontaneous" reporting. Consequently, only a small fraction of actual adverse events are collected for these drugs.

50. On May 4, 2018, ACADIA issued a press release entitled "CADIA

Pharmaceuticals Reports First Quarter 2018 Financial Results." Therein, the

Company, in relevant part, stated:

ACADIA Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Nasdaq: ACAD), a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of innovative medicines to address unmet medical needs in central nervous system (CNS) disorders, today announced its financial results

for the first quarter ended March 31, 2018.

"NUPLAZID delivered strong performance in the first quarter of 2018. Sequential volume growth of 13.5% drove sequential revenue growth of 12% as health care providers and patients continue to experience the benefits of NUPLAZID in treating the symptoms of Parkinson's disease psychosis," said Steve Davis, ACADIA's President and Chief Executive Officer. "Our R&D organization also continued to advance our late-stage clinical programs in four major CNS indications and we look forward to providing top-line results from our Phase 2 study of pimavanserin in major depressive disorder in the second half of 2018. We remain confident in the tremendous opportunities ahead for NUPLAZID, which is early in its growth phase."

Recent Highlights

- Announced poster presentations at the 2018 American Academy of Neurology (AAN) Annual Meeting of clinical experience data from two independent studies of NUPLAZID (pimavanserin), including a retrospective chart review conducted by researchers at Vanderbilt University Medical Center and a survey of real-life experiences conducted by researchers from the Parkinson's Disease and MovementDisorder Center at Henry Ford Hospital.
- Reported results of a survey conducted with the Parkinson and Movement Disorder Alliance revealing the serious impact of non-movement symptoms like hallucinations and delusions on quality of life of patients with Parkinson's disease and their caregivers.
- Advanced broad clinical development programs with ongoing studies in dementia-related psychosis, schizophrenia inadequate response, schizophrenia negative symptoms and major depressive disorder with plans to announce top-line results of a Phase 2 study of pimavanserin in major depressive disorder in the second half of 2018.
- Appointed Elena Ridloff, CFA, as Senior Vice President, Investor Relations.

Financial Results

Revenue

- Net sales of NUPLAZID were \$48.9 million for the first quarter of 2018, an increase of 220% as compared to \$15.3 million reported for the first quarter of 2017.
- 51. On May 4, 2018, ACADIA filed its quarterly report with the SEC on
- Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016. The Company's 10-Q was signed
- by Defendant Young and reaffirmed the financial results announced in the press
- 28 release issued on May 4, 2018.

The above statements identified in ¶¶49-51 were materially false and/or

1 2 misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's 3 business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose: (1) 4 5 that adverse events and safety concerns related to NUPLAZID threatened the drug's 6 initial and continuing FDA approval; (2) that ACADIA engaged in business 7 practices likely to attract regulatory scrutiny; and (3) that, as a result of the 8 9 foregoing, Defendants' statements about ACADIA's business, operations, and 10 prospects, were materially false and/or misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

52.

Disclosures at the End of the Class Period

53. On July 9, 2018, the SIRF published a report entitled "Acadia" Pharmaceuticals: This Is Not a Pharmaceuticals Company." Therein, the SIRF stated that "evidence is mounting that something is horribly wrong with Acadia's sole drug, Nuplazid, an antipsychotic for Parkinson's disease patients who experience episodic hallucinations and delusions" and that "Acadia has accomplished its growth in ways that have attracted intense regulatory scrutiny for other drug companies" including "dispensing wads of cash to doctors to incentivize prescription writing and downplaying mounting reports of patient deaths." greater part, SIRF stated:

spiraling costs for the drug that Medicare and private insurance payers 1 are reimbursing — would never have occurred if Nuplazid's manufacturer had followed the FDA's standard drug-approval 2 practices. 3 4 Nuplazid, when tested on people, has been a bust from the very start. 5 The drugmaker has had a brutal time demonstrating that the medication works better than a sugar pill. For example, Nuplazid's first clinical trial closed in March 2007, without any posting of results. The drug's third trial ended in March 2014 but did not indicate any 6 7 meaningful statistical difference between the medication and a placebo. 8 Statistically speaking, a drug trial whose range of results include zero is judged to be a failure in that the drug's therapeutic benefits are deemed 9 to be too small to be of medical consequence. 10 Faced with a third failure, Acadia's management might have decided it had reached the end of the road in trying to successfully develop the 11 drug. But due to a provision of the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act, however, in August 2014 Acadia was able 12 to get Nuplazid classified as a breakthrough therapy, a status conferred on therapies with "substantial treatment effects" in their initial clinical 13 tests. 14 It was a curious decision, given Nuplazid's track record and the FDA's plainly stated requirement for a breakthrough therapy to have 15 substantial treatment effects observed in early clinical development. 16 For the FDA's part, Dr. Mitchell Mathis, the agency's division director of psychiatry products, told the panel reviewing Nuplazid in March 2016 that awarding the breakthrough designation hinged on the fact 17 that no other FDA-approved drugs existed for treating Parkinson's 18 disease psychosis, as well as the frequency that these patients were being placed in nursing homes, which he called "a harbinger of death." 19 More baffling still was the FDA's willingness to assess whether Nuplazid worked based on "a negotiated evidentiary standard" that 20 eliminated long-standing evaluation criteria. 21 In a November analysis, Quarter Watch, a publication of the Institute 22 for Safe Medication Practices, flagged several ways the approval process of Nuplazid was unusual. For example, the FDA permitted the 23 drug's efficacy to be measured against an index of nine psychotic symptoms — as opposed to the standard 20-point scale — and the patients in the study were exclusively advanced cases (the most likely 24 to be responsive to any drug). The agency also allowed Acadia to stage 25 only a single trial (rather than the usual two) and to run it just in North America, where its previous results had been marginally stronger. 26 The physician responsible for leading the FDA's medical review of 27 Nuplazid, Dr. Paul Andreason, recommended against the medication's approval, asserting there was an "unacceptably increased, drug-related, safety risk of mortality and serious morbidity." Andreason worked for 28

26 years for the U.S. Public Health Service until leaving it in 2016; he spent 13 years with the FDA. His no vote was unusual in that it publicly revealed fault lines inside the division over what constitutes an appropriate level of patient risk.

Presumably, Nuplazid would not have been given a breakthrough therapy designation if the FDA's psychiatry product unit's leadership thought the drug was unlikely to win approval. As ProPublica recently described, over the last several years the FDA's approach to the review process for Nuplazid and a series of other drugs has shifted to active cooperation with pharmaceutical companies in getting their drugs commercially launched — and away from serving as a strict arbiter of science and as a guardian of consumer safety.

* * *

Over the six months that Nuplazid was commercially available in 2016, Acadia spent \$609,556 on consulting, speaking and travel and lodging payments to 1,578 doctors: Pomona, New York, psychiatrist Dr. Leslie Citrome's \$25,690 payout amounted to the largest sum, followed by the \$19,142 paid to Dr. Khashayar Dashtipour, a Loma Linda, California-based neurologist.

But what a difference a year makes.

For 2017, Acadia paid more than \$8.6 million to 7,051 physicians, with 62 doctors receiving more than \$50,000 apiece, and 26 receiving at least \$100,000 each.

The leading recipient of Acadia cash last year was Dr. Neal Hermanowicz, an Irvine, California-based movement disorders specialist who took in \$180,123, a handsome improvement over 2016's \$10,421. The runner up was psychiatrist Dr. Jason Kellogg, of Santa Ana, California, who was paid \$166,259. (In contrast, the \$25,690 that Dr. Citrome received in 2016, which was the biggest payout for that year, would have ranked as only the 104th largest payment to doctors if it had been given out in 2017.)

Given the fact that Acadia hired a significant number of former Avanir sales staffers, a substantial number of doctors have ended up receiving consulting payments from both Avanir and Acadia in the same calendar year: A total of 31 did in 2017, as did 29 in 2016. Out of that group, a dozen doctors took in \$5,000 apiece or more from the two companies in 2017. Just six did in 2016.

Acadia's payments in 2017, according to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' Open Payments database, were almost entirely for consulting, save \$522,935 for food and beverage expenses. (Other payment categories the centers track include "honorariums," such as fees for lecturing to other medical professionals, or "education," when the company covers the expense of distributing a journal article or staging a presentation at a conference.) Despite Acadia's discussions about supporting research on Nuplazid, the company's appetite for external or independent research sharply declined last year. It spent just \$197,587 on doctors' research projects, in contrast with

1 2	its \$817,613 outlay in 2016. (Avanir went in the other direction, devoting \$7.61 million to research last year and \$4.36 million to payments to doctors.)
3 4 5	Since Acadia doesn't release Nuplazid's prescription count, Medicare Part D data is the only way to observe prescriber behavior. To that end, overlaying Medicare Part D prescription volume from 2016 (the latest period for which data is available) against the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Open Payments data for 2016 and 2017 illuminates
6	a few things. There's a good deal of overlap between those who received Acadia
7 8 9	consulting fee payments in 2016 and 2017 and the individuals who prescribed Nuplazid with some frequency in 2016. For instance, in 2016, 14 of the 25 most frequent prescribers of Nuplazid to patients covered by Medicaid Part D received "consulting fees" in 2017 worth more than \$1.21 million in total.
10 11 12	Almost 37 percent of Acadia's \$1.21 million in consulting fee payments, or \$443,014, went to three neurologists who conducted Acadia-funded studies on Nuplazid and published journal articles about their findings: Dr. Neal Hermanowicz; Dr. Stuart Hal Isaacson of Boca Raton, Florida; and Dr. Rajesh Pahwa of Kansas City, Kansas.
13 14 15	Pittsburgh-based Dr. Susan Baser, a leading prescriber of Nuplazid to patients paying for it via Medicaid Part D, told the Southern Investigative Reporting Foundation, "It's the only drug addressing [Parkinson's disease psychosis] and we've had positive effects in some patients." She added, "Personally I think it's a good drug despite the noise about adverse events that's out there."
161718	Baser, who did not receive any consulting fees from Acadia in 2016 and 2017, expressed surprise at the size of the payments that some of her peers received from the company. "I work 60 hours per week. I don't know how they have the time. I'm just too busy for any of that."
19	54. On this news, ACADIA's share price fell \$1.21 per share, or 6.8%, to
2021	close at \$16.63 per share on July 9, 2018, on unusually heavy trading volume.
22	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS
23	55. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of
2425	Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class, consisting of all persons and
26	entities that acquired ACADIA securities between April 29, 2016 and July 9, 2018,
27	inclusive, and who were damaged thereby (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class

are Defendants, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

56. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, ACADIA's common stock actively traded on the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are at least hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Millions of ACADIA shares were traded publicly during the Class Period on the NASDAQ. As of January 31, 2018, ACADIA had 124,701,944 shares of common stock outstanding. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by ACADIA or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.

- 57. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.
- 58. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation.

- 59. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
- (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged herein;
- (b) whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class Period omitted and/or misrepresented material facts about the business, operations, and prospects of ACADIA; and
- (c) to what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages and the proper measure of damages.
- 60. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

UNDISCLOSED ADVERSE FACTS

61. The market for ACADIA's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all relevant times. As a result of these materially false and/or misleading statements, and/or failures to disclose, ACADIA's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. Plaintiff and other members of the Class

purchased or otherwise acquired ACADIA's securities relying upon the integrity of the market price of the Company's securities and market information relating to ACADIA, and have been damaged thereby.

- 62. During the Class Period, Defendants materially misled the investing public, thereby inflating the price of ACADIA's securities, by publicly issuing false and/or misleading statements and/or omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make Defendants' statements, as set forth herein, not false and/or misleading. The statements and omissions were materially false and/or misleading because they failed to disclose material adverse information and/or misrepresented the truth about ACADIA's business, operations, and prospects as alleged herein.
- 63. At all relevant times, the material misrepresentations and omissions particularized in this Complaint directly or proximately caused or were a substantial contributing cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about ACADIA's financial well-being and prospects. These material misstatements and/or omissions had the cause and effect of creating in the market an unrealistically positive assessment of the Company and its financial well-being and prospects, thus causing the Company's securities to be overvalued and artificially inflated at all relevant times. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the Class Period resulted in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing the

complained of herein when the truth was revealed.

3

4

LOSS CAUSATION

Company's securities at artificially inflated prices, thus causing the damages

5 6

Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, directly and 64.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

proximately caused the economic loss suffered by Plaintiff and the Class.

65. During the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Class purchased ACADIA's securities at artificially inflated prices and were damaged thereby. The price of the Company's securities significantly declined when the misrepresentations made to the market, and/or the information alleged herein to have been concealed from the market, and/or the effects thereof, were revealed, causing investors' losses.

SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS

As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter since Defendants 66. knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and/or misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, the Individual Defendants, by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts regarding ACADIA, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of ACADIA's allegedly materially misleading misstatements and/or their associations with the Company

6

7

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning ACADIA, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE UD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE)

- The market for ACADIA's securities was open, well-developed and 67. efficient at all relevant times. As a result of the materially false and/or misleading statements and/or failures to disclose, ACADIA's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period. On June 8, 2016, the Company's stock price closed at a Class Period high of \$41.55 per share. Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities relying upon the integrity of the market price of ACADIA's securities and market information relating to ACADIA, and have been damaged thereby.
- 68. During the Class Period, the artificial inflation of ACADIA's stock was caused by the material misrepresentations and/or omissions particularized in this Complaint causing the damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about ACADIA's business, prospects, and operations. These material misstatements and/or omissions created an unrealistically positive assessment of ACADIA and its business, operations, and prospects, thus causing the price of the Company's securities to be artificially inflated at all relevant times, and when disclosed, negatively affected the value of the Company stock. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading

statements during the Class Period resulted in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing the Company's securities at such artificially inflated prices, and each of them has been damaged as a result.

- 69. At all relevant times, the market for ACADIA's securities was an efficient market for the following reasons, among others:
- (a) ACADIA stock met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively traded on the NASDAQ, a highly efficient and automated market;
- (b) As a regulated issuer, ACADIA filed periodic public reports with the SEC and/or the NASDAQ;
- (c) ACADIA regularly communicated with public investors via established market communication mechanisms, including through regular dissemination of press releases on the national circuits of major newswire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services; and/or
- (d) ACADIA was followed by securities analysts employed by brokerage firms who wrote reports about the Company, and these reports were distributed to the sales force and certain customers of their respective brokerage firms. Each of these reports was publicly available and entered the public marketplace.
- 70. As a result of the foregoing, the market for ACADIA's securities promptly digested current information regarding ACADIA from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in ACADIA's stock price. Under

these circumstances, all purchasers of ACADIA's securities during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of ACADIA's securities at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

71. A Class-wide presumption of reliance is also appropriate in this action under the Supreme Court's holding in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128 (1972), because the Class's claims are, in large part, grounded on Defendants' material misstatements and/or omissions. Because this action involves Defendants' failure to disclose material adverse information regarding the Company's business operations and financial prospects—information that Defendants were obligated to disclose—positive proof of reliance is not a prerequisite to recovery. All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material in the sense that a reasonable investor might have considered them important in making investment decisions. Given the importance of the Class Period material misstatements and omissions set forth above, that requirement is satisfied here.

NO SAFE HARBOR

72. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this Complaint. The statements alleged to be false and misleading herein all relate to then-existing facts and conditions. In addition, to the extent certain of the statements alleged to be false may be characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as "forward-looking statements" when made and there were no

meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements. In the alternative, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor is determined to apply to any forward-looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-looking statements because at the time each of those forward-looking statements was made, the speaker had actual knowledge that the forward-looking statement was materially false or misleading, and/or the forward-looking statement was authorized or approved by an executive officer of ACADIA who knew that the statement was false when made.

FIRST CLAIM Violation of Section 10(b) of The Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants

- 73. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 74. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme and course of conduct which was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; and (ii) cause Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase ACADIA's securities at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, Defendants, and each defendant, took the actions set forth herein.
 - 75. Defendants (i) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii)

made untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts

necessary to make the statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices,

and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities in an effort to maintain artificially high market prices for ACADIA's securities in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. All Defendants are sued either as primary participants in the wrongful and illegal conduct charged herein or as controlling persons as alleged below.

- 76. Defendants, individually and in concert, directly and indirectly, by the use, means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails, engaged and participated in a continuous course of conduct to conceal adverse material information about ACADIA's financial well-being and prospects, as specified herein.
- 77. Defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud, while in possession of material adverse non-public information and engaged in acts, practices, and a course of conduct as alleged herein in an effort to assure investors of ACADIA's value and performance and continued substantial growth, which included the making of, or the participation in the making of, untrue statements of material facts and/or omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made about ACADIA and its business operations and future prospects in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, as set forth more particularly herein, and engaged in transactions, practices and a course of

5

4

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

- Each of the Individual Defendants' primary liability and controlling 78. person liability arises from the following facts: (i) the Individual Defendants were high-level executives and/or directors at the Company during the Class Period and members of the Company's management team or had control thereof; (ii) each of these defendants, by virtue of their responsibilities and activities as a senior officer and/or director of the Company, was privy to and participated in the creation, development and reporting of the Company's internal budgets, plans, projections and/or reports; (iii) each of these defendants enjoyed significant personal contact and familiarity with the other defendants and was advised of, and had access to, other members of the Company's management team, internal reports and other data and information about the Company's finances, operations, and sales at all relevant times; and (iv) each of these defendants was aware of the Company's dissemination of information to the investing public which they knew and/or recklessly disregarded was materially false and misleading.
- Defendants had actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or 79. omissions of material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed to ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such facts were available to them. Such defendants' material misrepresentations and/or omissions were done knowingly or recklessly and for the purpose and effect of

concealing ACADIA's financial well-being and prospects from the investing public and supporting the artificially inflated price of its securities. As demonstrated by Defendants' overstatements and/or misstatements of the Company's business, operations, financial well-being, and prospects throughout the Class Period, Defendants, if they did not have actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions alleged, were reckless in failing to obtain such knowledge by deliberately refraining from taking those steps necessary to discover whether those statements were false or misleading.

- 80. As a result of the dissemination of the materially false and/or misleading information and/or failure to disclose material facts, as set forth above, the market price of ACADIA's securities was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the fact that market prices of the Company's securities were artificially inflated, and relying directly or indirectly on the false and misleading statements made by Defendants, or upon the integrity of the market in which the securities trades, and/or in the absence of material adverse information that was known to or recklessly disregarded by Defendants, but not disclosed in public statements by Defendants during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class acquired ACADIA's securities during the Class Period at artificially high prices and were damaged thereby.
- 81. At the time of said misrepresentations and/or omissions, Plaintiff and other members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be

true. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class and the marketplace known the truth regarding the problems that ACADIA was experiencing, which were not disclosed by Defendants, Plaintiff and other members of the Class would not have purchased or otherwise acquired their ACADIA securities, or, if they had acquired such securities during the Class Period, they would not have done so at the artificially inflated prices which they paid.

- 82. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

Violation of Section 20(a) of The Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants

- 84. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 85. Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of ACADIA within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their high-level positions and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in, and/or awareness of the Company's operations and intimate knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the Company with the SEC and disseminated to the

investing public, Individual Defendants had the power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision-making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which Plaintiff contends are false and misleading. Individual Defendants were provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public filings, and other statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be corrected.

- 86. In particular, Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, had the power to control or influence the particular transactions giving rise to the securities violations as alleged herein, and exercised the same.
- 87. As set forth above, ACADIA and Individual Defendants each violated Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this Complaint. By virtue of their position as controlling persons, Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows: 3 Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of (a) 4 5 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; 6 Awarding compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other (b) 7 Class members against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages 8 9 sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, 10 including interest thereon; 11 Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses (c) 12 13 incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and 14 (d) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 15 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 16 17 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury. 18 19 Dated: July 19, 2018 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28